

Hot

All Posts

DC Code 7-2509.07 prohibits CONCEALED PISOLS ONLY, NOT ALL FIREARMS as the DC Police is posting.

110

1 months ago by [BDSMarxist](#)  +111 / -1

Educate yourself and read the full text of the law, here (only takes a few minutes):

[DC Code Section 7-2509.07](#)

This code explicitly spells out restrictions ONLY for CONCEALED PISTOLS for those with valid CCW permits. Police do this all the time - they make a bold statement like "all firearms banned" and then link to a city code that says nothing of the sort.

I am not a lawyer, but I have yet to see any law which prohibits the carrying of other firearms such as Rifles, Shotguns, or Any Other Weapons.


Spread this!

[EDIT] Fuck me, it also appears that is somehow [became nearly impossible to legally carry ammunition in DC](#). Linked to § 7-2506.01. *Persons permitted to possess ammunition*


[19 comments](#) [share](#) [save](#) [hide](#) [report](#) [block](#) [hide child comments](#)

Comments (19)

sorted by: **top**

 [Dalek72](#) 1 months ago +6 / -0

6 2nd amendment overrides any laws.

 [permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

 [MikeObamasVeineyCock](#) 1 months ago +4 / -0

4 While I agree this is bad information. Concealed is the only legal way to carry in DC according to dc law. Open carry is prohibited.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

 [BDSMarxist](#) [\[S\]](#) 1 months ago +3 / -0 

3 This law which I posted is explicit in the way it states that carrying a concealed pistol is illegal in specific areas, even for those with valid CCW permits.

I am not seeing any such laws about rifles or shotguns, though another poster seems to have linked to a code which prohibits the carrying of any ammunition.

I'm looking for any legal avenues, but it seems DC is completely fucked.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

 [MikeObamasVeineyCock](#) 1 months ago +3 / -0

▲ **BDSMarxist** [S] 1 months ago +1 / -0

1 How did this happen? How could it get so bad?

▼ [permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **MikeObamasVeineyCock** 1 months ago +3 / -0

3 Locals are communist bureaucrats sucking on federal government teat.

▼ [permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **BDSMarxist** [S] 1 months ago +1 / -0

1 Do you think we are at the point where we resist any attempts for confiscation/arrest for carrying a firearm in DC?

▼ What if a small, armed group is isolated and apprehended en-route to the larger gathering? What should the small group do, in your mind?

I'm talking a group of about four people. We'll be walking about a mile from where we are staying to the capital. Not sure how to respond other than compliance if we are stopped along the way.

Has anyone suggested an 'armed escort' of 50 or so patriots to maybe help bring in smaller groups (like mine)?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **MikeObamasVeineyCock** 1 months ago +1 / -0

1 You're glowing.

▼ [permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **unique_string** 1 months ago +1 / -2

-1 Open carriers will be concealed in a large crowd, so its completely legal.

▼ [permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **BDSMarxist** [S] 1 months ago +1 / -0

1 Yes, I understand and agree.

▼ What I propose to you (and others) is that being informed about the literal letter of the law which they are citing could yield better results than basing all arguments on solely on the 2nd Amendment.


My rationale is that these anti-gun traitors are used to hearing the "shall not be infringed" argument with such frequency that they are numb to it and so not even honor it.

Approaching it from a new angle that leaves no room for interpretation, such as, "the law you are citing is explicit only in regards to concealed pistols. I am not carrying a concealed pistol. Therefore, that law does not apply here."

I argue that it will be more effective as it is direct, pointed, and much less broad.

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **Dalek72** 1 months ago +2 / -0

2 Yea I completely agree. Its hard to reason to people that a gun is a legitimate safety for us beca  it is how dangerous and grave the situation in America is at the moment.

▼ [permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

3 If 100,000 are open carrying, they won't do a fkn thing

permalink save report block reply

▲ **TacticalGeorge** 1 months ago +3 / -0

3 Heck, if 1,000 are open carrying they won't do shit

permalink parent save report block reply

▲ **BDSMarxist** [S] 1 months ago +2 / -0

2 I don't disagree with you, but I think it is important to make a distinction.

Our group from Philly is walking about 1 mile to the capital. If we are carrying illegally, the police technically have the authority in their eyes to arrest us and confiscate our guns.

What would you do in that situation? If there was four of you and, say, eight of them?

Do you feel all other options are exhausted? Would you open fire? Why or why not?

permalink parent save report block reply

▲ **Ben45** 1 months ago +3 / -0

3 (a) No person holding a license shall carry a pistol in the following locations or under the following circumstances:

(9) A gathering or special event open to the public; provided, that no licensee shall be criminally prosecuted unless:

(A) The organizer or the District has provided notice prohibiting the carrying of pistols in advance of the gathering or special event and by posted signage at the gathering or special event; or

(B) The licensee has been ordered by a law enforcement officer to leave the area of the gathering or special event and the licensee has not complied with the order;

(14) When demonstration in a public place is occurring, within an area designated by the Chief or his or her designee, or other law enforcement agency, that does not include any point at a distance greater than 1,000 feet from the demonstration; provided, that no licensee shall be criminally prosecuted unless:

(A) The law enforcement agency provides notice of the designated area by the presence of signs, law enforcement vehicles or officers acting as a perimeter, or other means to make the designated area of the demonstration obvious;

(B) The District or federal government has provided notice prohibiting the carrying of pistols along or within a demonstration route or designated area in advance of the event, if possible, and by posted signage along a demonstration route or designated area; or

(C) The licensee has been ordered by a law enforcement officer to leave the designated area and the licensee has not complied with the order; or

later is also says

(e) A licensee shall not carry a pistol openly or otherwise in a manner that is not concealed.

We all know the text of the second amendment. Know your rights and the responsibility they come with and the threat of expressing your rights under a tyrannical government.

permalink save report block reply

▲ **BDSMarxist** [S] 1 months ago +2 / -0

2 What I found illuminating is that this code makes no mention of rifles or shotguns.



▲ **somedickinohio** 1 months ago +2 / -0

2

▼ And this - AMMO is PROHIBITED. Title 7 Subtitle J Chpt. 25 § 7-2506.01. Persons Permitted to Possess Ammunition (a) No person shall possess ammunition in the District of Columbia unless: (1) He is a licensed dealer pursuant to subchapter IV of this unit; (2) He is an officer, agent, or employee of the District of Columbia or the United States of America, on duty and acting within the scope of his duties when possessing such ammunition; (3) He is the holder of a valid registration certificate for a firearm pursuant to subchapter II of this chapter; except, that no such person shall possess restricted pistol bullets; (4) He holds an ammunition collector's certificate on September 24, 1976; or (5) He temporarily possesses ammunition while participating in a firearms training and safety class conducted by a firearms instructor.

[permalink](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

▲ **BDSMarxist** [S] 1 months ago +3 / -0

3

▼ The carrying of any ammunition is banned?

That is insane.

I am not suggesting that we should be beholden to the letter of the law, but is there truly no legal way to bring a firearm into DC?

[permalink](#) [parent](#) [save](#) [report](#) [block](#) [reply](#)

Copyright © patriots.win 2021

[Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

